

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 CARMEL STEVENS and LADALE  
12 JACKSON, individually and on behalf  
13 of all similarly situated,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 STATE FARM MUTUAL, INC.;  
17 STATE FARM GENERAL  
18 INCORPORATED and DOES 1  
through 50, inclusive

19 Defendants.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Case No. 2:22-cv-06362-FLA (MAAx)

**ORDER DENYING STIPULATION  
TO CONTINUE SCHEDULING  
CONFERENCE UNTIL AFTER THE  
RESOLUTION OF DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION TO DISMISS [DKT. 19]**


Action Filed: July 6, 2022

1 On October 11, 2022, Plaintiffs Carmel Stevens and Ladale Jackson and  
2 Defendants State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company and State Farm  
3 General Insurance Company (collectively, the “Parties”) filed a Stipulation to Continue  
4 Scheduling Conference Until After Resolution of Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss.  
5 Dkt. 19. The Parties contend that conducting a scheduling conference before  
6 Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss is resolved is likely to be inefficient and result in a  
7 schedule that will ultimately need to be modified. *Id.* at 1-2.

8 The Parties do not cite any legal authority for the proposition that the pendency  
9 of a potentially dispositive motion is sufficient to establish good cause for a de facto  
10 stay of this action. Accordingly, the Stipulation is DENIED.

11  
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13  
14 Dated: October 11, 2022

15  
16   
17 FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA  
18 United States District Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28